*Gastric & Breast Cancer 2008; 7(2):71-73* Published ahead of print as DOI: **10.2122/gbc.2008.0087** 

## COMMENTARY

## VEGF and EGFR Antagonists for Gastric Cancer

## **Prof. Dr. Johannes Zacherl**

From the Division of General Surgery Vienna Medical School, Austria

DOI:10.2122/gbc.2008.0087

\*Correspondence to Prof. Dr. Johannes Zacherl Division of General Surgery Vienna Medical School Waehringer Guertel 18-20 Vienna A-1090, Austria The idea of the dependence of tumor growth and metastasis on blood vessels was published for the first in the 1970s [1]. Tumor anti-angiogenesis is a rational target for therapy. Although accumulating preclinical evidence supports this hypothesis there is still moderate overall survival benefit in patients with metastatic colorectal and lung cancer, No positive adjuvant trial has reported improved cure by adding anti-angiogenic agents to cytotoxic chemotherapy for any cancer type.

The fact that nearly four decades later, despite major advances in biotechnology and molecular research there is only moderate or less clinical success reveals that cancer is much more complicated that we have supposed [2,3]. Cure for metastatic disease is major goal but it will probably remain an elusion for this century. Even in the adjuvant setting despite novel combinations with surgery, radiotherapy and new systemic therapies, cure rates of patients with advanced solid cancers will moderately be improved in the near future.

Bevacizumab (Avastin) --a humanized monoclonal antibody directed against vascular endothelial growth factor A (VEGF-A), is currently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (US FDA) for the treatment of metastatic colorectal cancer and nonsmall-cell lung cancer. However, FDA recommended against approval of bevacizumab for patients with metastatic breast cancer, largely because a large-scale phase III trial showed no overall survival advantage for patients who received bevacizumab [4]. This case highlights the shifting sands on which investigators and drug developers stand when the results of extremely complicated and expensive clinical trials are reported.

Could the addition of bevacizumab to chemotherapy improve survival of patients with operable gastric cancer? The results of the ongoing United Kingdom National Cancer Research Institute ST03 trial of perioperative epirubicin, cisplatin, and capecitabine — with or without bevacizumab [5] will elucidate this critical question. Nevertheless, in the absence of a phase III positive trial in the metastatic gastric cancer, the contrasting results from trials for various other solid tumors, and Jain [2] prediction that cure by combining anti-VEGF and cytotoxic agents in the adjuvant setting should be expected in the more distant future. There are some concerns whether a generalization for anti-VEGF therapy in all patients with stage II/III gastric cancer can ever be proven effective to increase cure rates.

Instead, molecular biomarkers to predict response to anti-VEGF and other combined targeted agents are urgently needed for various solid tumors including gastric [2,3,6-10].

Recently, Lieto et al [11] correctly focused their efforts to identify gastric cancer patients who might mostly benefit by inhibiting VEGF/EGFR pathways. Although the authors found that about half of patients expressed VEGF and EGFR, there is no correlation of VEGF and EGFR levels and response to anti-VEGF and anti-EGFR agents [3]. Larger number of patients is required to assess whether these markers could more accurate than TNM stage predict the outcomes of patients.

Prognosis of gastric cancer still remains poor despite advances in locoregional tumor control with D2 surgery, D1 surgery plus radiotherapy and systemic treatment with adjuvant chemotherapy [12]. Adjuvant chemotherapy irrespective of extent of surgery and timing of administration has become standard [13,14] following the results of pivotal adjuvant trials [15-17]. Despite these improvements, cure rates for stages II/III disease are still low.

As reported above the MAGIC Trial 2 in the UK [5] has launched a large-scale phase III randomized trial with enrollment of more than 1000 patients with resectable adenocarcinoma of the stomach and gastroesophageal junction. The patients are randomly assigned to receive perioperative chemotherapy with or without bevacizumab. Given that there is no adjuvant trial testing the efficacy and safety of bevacizumab for any solid cancer, the results of this study are awaited with great interest. There are however some concerns considering the contrasting results of bevacizumab efficacy in metastatic setting for colorectal, lung and breast cancer [2,3]. Perhaps, a priority would be given

in developing biomarkers to predict response to VEGF antagonists under the light of rapidly growing technological advances and biomedical research progress. Guided clinical trials selecting for enrollment only responder patients might be an optimal process.

Tailoring the best treatment in individuals with gastric cancer can dramatically alter poor outcomes of patients with localized advanced gastric cancer. Currently, a comprehensive stepby-step, bench-to-bedside protocol [6]. Perhaps, instead of major clinical trials with combination of targeted and cytotoxic agents, priority should be given on biomarkers research to guide the design of randomized trials only among responder patients to specific therapies. The era of personalized medicine has been started.

## References

- 1. Folkman J. Tumor angiogenesis: therapeutic implications. *N Engl J Med* 1971; **285:** 1182–1186.
- Jain RK, Duda DG, Clark JW, Loeffler JS. Lessons from phase III clinical trials on anti-VEGF therapy for cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2006 Jan;3(1):24-40.
- 3. Schneider BP, Sledge GW Jr. Drug insight: VEGF as a therapeutic target for breast cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2007 Mar;4(3):181-9.
- 4. Miller K, Wang M, Gralow J, et al. Paclitaxel plus bevacizumab versus paclitaxel alone for metastatic breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007 Dec 27;357(26):2666-76.
- 5. Cunningham D, Chua YJ. East meets west in the treatment of gastric cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007 Nov 1;357(18):1863-5.
- 6. Roukos DH. Innovative genomic-based model for personalized treatment of gastric cancer: integrating current standards and new technologies. Expert Rev Mol Diagn 2008; 8(1): 29-39.
- Liakakos T, Roukos DH. More Controversy than Ever - Challenges and Promises Towards Personalized Treatment of Gastric Cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2008 Jan 23; [Epub ahead of print] (DOI: 10.1245/s10434-007-9798-5).
- 8. Roukos DH, Murray S, Briasoulis E. Molecular genetic tools shape a roadmap towards a more accurate prognostic prediction and personalized

management of cancer. Cancer Biol Ther. 2007 Mar;6(3):308-12

- 9. Roukos DH. HER2 and response to paclitaxel in node-positive breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 2008; 358: 197, author reply 198.
- Roukos DH, Briasoulis E. Individualized preventive and therapeutic management of hereditary breast ovarian cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol 2007; 4(10): 578–590
- 11. Lieto E, Ferraraccio F, Orditura M, et al. Expression of vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is an independent prognostic indicator of worse outcome in gastric cancer patients. Ann Surg Oncol. 2008 Jan;15(1):69-79
- Roukos DH, Kappas AM. Perspectives in the treatment of gastric cancer. Nat Clin Pract Oncol. 2005; 2: 98-107
- 13. Briasoulis E, Fatouros M, Roukos DH. Level I evidence in support of perioperative chemotherapy for operable gastric cancer: sufficient for wide clinical use? Ann Surg Oncol. 2007; 14: 2691-5.
- 14. Briasoulis E, Liakakos T, Dova L, et al. Selecting a specific pre- or postoperative adjuvant therapy for individual patients with operable gastric cancer. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther 2006; 6: 931-9.
- 15. Sakuramoto S, Sasako M, Yamaguchi T, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy for gastric cancer with S-1, an oral fluoropyrimidine. N Engl J Med 2007;357:1810–2
- Cunningham D, Allum WH, Stenning SP, et al. Perioperative chemotherapy versus surgery alone for resectable gastroesophageal cancer. N Engl J Med 2006;355:11–20
- Macdonald JS, Smalley SR, Benedetti J, et al. Chemoradiotherapy after surgery compared with surgery alone for adenocarcinoma of the stomach or gastroesophageal junction. N Engl J Med 2001;345:725–730.
- Norton JA, Ham CM, Van Dam J, et al. CDH1 truncating mutations in the E-cadherin gene: an indication for total gastrectomy to treat hereditary diffuse gastric cancer. Ann Surg 2007;245:873–9
- Chung DC, Yoon SS, Lauwers GY, Patel D. Case records of the Massachusetts General Hospital. Case 22–2007. A woman with a family history of gastric and breast cancer. N Engl J Med 2007;357:283–91
- 20. Roukos DH. Linking contralateral breast cancer with genetics. Radiother Oncol. 2008 Jan 29; [Epub ahead of print]

doi:10.1016/j.radonc.2008.01.013 (in press). Editorial.

- Roukos DH. Prognosis of breast cancer in carriers of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357(15): 1555-6; author reply 1556.
- 22. Fatouros M, Baltoyiannis G, Roukos DH. The Predominant Role of Surgery in the Prevention and New Trends in the Surgical Treatment of Women With BRCA1/2 Mutations. Ann Surg Oncol. 2008; 15(1: 21-33.
- Roukos DH, Kappas AM, Tsianos E. Role of surgery in the prophylaxis of hereditary cancer syndromes. Ann Surg Oncol 2002; 9(7): 607-609
- 24. Roukos DH, Agnanti NJ, Paraskevaidis E, Kappas AM. Approaching the dilemma between prophylactic bilateral mastectomy or oophorectomy for breast and ovarian cancer prevention in carriers of BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutations. Ann Surg Oncol. 2002; 9(10): 941-943.
- 25. Agnantis NJ, Paraskevaidis E, Roukos D. Preventing breast, ovarian cancer in BRCA carriers: rational of prophylactic surgery and promises of surveillance. Ann Surg Oncol. 2004 Dec;11(12):1030-4
- 26. Roukos DH, Kappas AM, Agnantis NJ. Perspectives and risks of breast-conservation therapy for breast cancer. Ann Surg Oncol. 2003; 10: 718-21.
- 27. Fatouros M, Roukos DH, Arampatzis I, Sotiriadis A, Paraskevaidis E, Kappas AM. Factors increasing local recurrence in breastconserving surgery. Expert Rev Anticancer Ther. 2005 Aug;5(4):737-45.